


How to Set Competitive Compensation Structures



**ECONOMIC
RESEARCH**
INSTITUTE

8575 164th Avenue NE, Suite 100
Redmond, WA 98052
800-627-3697
www.eri.com

How to Create a Competitive Salary Structure

This learning aid serves as a resource for creating a competitive salary structure. Well-designed salary structures have compensation levels that are internally equitable, externally competitive, and cost-effective and deliver a positive business impact on the organization in several ways, as highlighted in the infographic below. Although the technical steps are the focus, the underlying process requires a cross-functional team of stakeholders working collaboratively to create a competitive salary structure.



Process for Designing a Salary Structure

There are several steps to creating a competitive salary structure. The final structure will have a series of salary ranges and grades that represent the hierarchy of jobs and job families in the organization.

Once introduced, it is necessary to keep the structure current and aligned to support business objectives. Salary structures require maintenance to function properly.



Step 1: To be effective, tools and programs that directly impact the entire organization (such as a competitive compensation structure), require a needs assessment which analyzes the following:

- Business strategy
- Corporate culture
- External factors
- Key-performance-indicators (KPIs)

An organization’s compensation strategy should align with the company’s mission, vision, and culture, as well as support the overall business strategy. In regards to external factors, the competitive market position is defined in terms of how the company wants to compete in the labor markets by paying below market, market, or above market rates. For example, an organization may pay below market rates for low skilled, high turnover positions. Above market rates may be paid for positions that are critical to the organization’s mission.

Step 2: Establish a job structure by conducting job evaluations and market pricing.

A job evaluation is preceded by a job analysis to collect job content. After the job analysis, the jobs are evaluated based on company-specific criteria like compensable factors (see “*How to Conduct Job Evaluations*” - http://downloads.eries.com.s3.amazonaws.com/pdf/Job_Evaluation.pdf). Job evaluations create an internally focused nomenclature to communicate similarities and differences across jobs.

For market pricing, internal jobs are matched with benchmark jobs in compensation surveys. To result in a valid structure, at least 50% of the workforce in the organization need to be represented in the benchmark jobs. It is essential to use appropriate salary surveys based on the relevant labor market where an organization recruits employees. Consider the four factors that drive the selection of a relevant survey as summarized in the infographic below:




Job structure example of Step 1 results:

Ranking	Job Family	Job	Competitive Market Rate	Compensable Factor Points
• 1	• Support	• Receptionist	• 34000	• 120
• 2	• Support	• File Clerk	• 28000	• 110
• 3	• Support	• Data Entry	• 26000	• 100

Step 3: Next, develop grades where jobs are assigned grades based on the job evaluation results and job structure.

Organizations with fluid, organic structures have fewer grades, whereas highly structured organizations have more grades. In practice, the number of grades varies from as few as 4 to as many as 60. Here’s an example of a “vanilla” grade structure for an organization with \$100 million in revenue:




Step 4: Next, plot job evaluation points and market rates to identify a trend line from the lowest market rate to the highest market rate. This can be accomplished using simple regression in MS Excel with the scatterplot function. The resultant equation is referred to as a “pay policy line” and is expressed as follows:

$$Y = m(x) + b$$



SYMBOL	DEFINITION
Y	Base salary; dependent (predicted) variable. Found on the Y axis
m	Slope of the line
x	Job evaluation points; independent variable. Found on the X axis.
b	y-intercept

The data set and graph are shown below. Based on this equation, each job evaluation point increases the salary by \$692.77. Also note the R2 statistic of 0.9657. This is the percentage of the response variable variation that is explained by the equation. As an organization, an acceptable R2 result should be defined to determine if the results will be used. An acceptable range could be from 0.60 to 0.80.



Step 5: Finally, determine salary ranges as well as their respective range spread and midpoint progressions to complete the competitive base salary structure.

A salary range consists of these rates:

- Minimum pay rate (also may be the beginning hire rate)
- Midpoint (the market or competitive market rate)
 - Reminder: the competitive market rate is defined in the business needs assessment process and could be below, at, or above the market average.
- Maximum (the highest rate the organization is willing to pay)


Employees in the same job grade may differ significantly in performance or work characteristics. A “fully performing” employee is likely paid the competitive market rate: the midpoint. New hires start near the minimum, and salaries above the midpoint are for high performance employees and/or those who have mastery or domain expertise in the job. A salary range spread allows flexibility to account for these differences. It is calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{(\text{Maximum} - \text{Minimum})}{\text{Minimum}}$$

Consider these general guidelines for salary range spreads:

- Service, production, and manufacturing jobs have range spreads of 10-20%.
- Clerical, technical, and paraprofessional jobs have ranges of 20-40%.
- Professional and supervisory jobs that wider ranges of 40-50%.
- Managerial jobs have ranges of 50% or more.

Example: Receptionist Salary Range



Midpoint progression is the percent difference between the successive salary grade midpoints. Below, some guidelines are presented which build on the same example used earlier showing a structure with 10 grades.

Grade 9 and 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Progression •12% to 15%
Grades 5 thru 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Progression •10% to 12%
Grades 1 thru 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Progression •7% to 10%

Pulling It All Together... The Inverted Pyramid Concept

Salary grades for early career professionals or lesser skilled jobs will generally be narrow, and salary progression can be relatively quick depending on the job and individual performance. As the grade increases, ranges get wider and allow for larger increases for jobs in these grades. From a promotion perspective, vertical progression slows down since knowledge, skills, and abilities are more complex, and a longer time period is required to acquire or learn the associated competencies.

CEO, COO, CFO	•Grade 10; Spread 100%
Division CEO, COO, CFO	•Grade 9; Spread 95%
Functional Leadership	•Grade 8; Spread 90%
Director	•Grade 7; Spread 80%
Manager	•Grade 6; Spread 70%
Supervisor	•Grade 5; Spread 60%
Team Leader	•Grade 4; Spread 50%
Receptionist	•Grade 3; Spread 40%
Clerk	•Grade 2; Spread 30%
Teller	•Grade 1; Spread 20%

Summary

As part of an organization's strategy, salary is the foundation of compensation packages and an HR financial lever for the organization's ability to attract, motivate, and retain talent critical for business success. Effective salary structures are equitable, competitive, and supportive of organizational objectives. A well designed and managed salary structure requires keeping a pulse on changing internal workforce requirements and relevant external labor markets.

Having the appropriate tools and processes in place to ensure that competitive base salary structures support the business strategy is critical to the long term health of the organization.

For more information regarding base salaries structures, contact ERI at 800-627-3697 or info.eri@erieri.com.



**ECONOMIC
RESEARCH**
INSTITUTE

ABOUT ERI ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE

ERI Economic Research Institute has been trusted for decades to provide compensation survey data. We compile the most robust salary survey, cost-of-living, executive compensation, and job competency data available. Thousands of corporate subscribers, including the majority of the Fortune 500®, rely on ERI analytics to streamline the compensation planning process, develop compensation packages that attract and retain top performers, and provide defensible data that holds up during litigation and audit.

